

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address:

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

HB

08/966,368

ARLINGTON VA 22202

FILING DATE

THIARD-LAFORET A

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT FOURTH FLOOR 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY

STANDIG.B

, B

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

EXAMINER

2839

6

DATE MAILED:

0107-0974-3R

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Applicant(s)

Thiard-Laforet et al.

Examiner

Application No.

08/966,368

Barry Standig

Group Art Unit 2839



Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
This action is FINAL.	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1939	r formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed 5 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to solve the solve of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension of the solve of this communication.	to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) 24-44	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
X Claim(s) 24-44	
☐ Claim(s)	
☐ Claims	
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin The drawing(s) filed on is/are object The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ted to by the Examiner.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.☒ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priorical	of the priority documents have been mber)08/415,362 International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
Attachment(s)	
 □ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94 	
□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 .	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON	THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Serial Number: 08/966,368 Page 2

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

Reissue Applications

- 1. The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective because it fails to contain a statement that all errors which are being corrected in the reissue application up to the time of filing of the oath/declaration arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant. Applicant's paragraph #7 of the declaration does not contain this specific language. See 37 CFR 1.175 and MPEP § 1414.
- 2. Claims 24-44 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251 as set forth above. See 37 CFR 1.175 [Specifically, 37 C.F.R. 1.175(a)(2) and (b)(1)(ii)]

The nature of the defect(s) in the declaration is set forth within this paragraph and paragraph 1. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.63(a)(3); Wilibald Zerlik is required to write the country under the residence section

3. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1), a supplemental reissue oath/declaration under 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1) must be received before this reissue application can be allowed.

Claims 24-44 are rejected as being based upon a defective declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251. See 37 CFR 1.175. The nature of the defect is set forth above.

Receipt of an appropriate supplemental oath/declaration under 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1) will overcome this rejection under 35 U.S.C. 251. An example of acceptable language to be used in the supplemental oath/declaration is as follows:

Art Unit: 2839

"Every error in the patent which was corrected in the present reissue application, and is not covered by a prior oath/declaration submitted in this application, arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant."

Interference

4. Claim 24 of this application has been copied from U.S. Patent No.5,573,414 (filing date 3/16/95) for the purpose of an interference.

Applicant has failed to specifically apply each limitation or element of each of the copied claim(s) to the disclosure of the application. Applicant has pointed out column and lines for U.S. Patent 5,659,944; however, this should have been done for U.S. Patent 5,557,837 (filing date 04/03/95)

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mr. Barry Standig whose telephone number is (703) 308-1727. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 to 6:00. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Steven Stephan, can be reached on (703) 308-2826. The official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7722. The attorney should proceed transmission of the fax with a call to the examiner to ensure prompt receipt.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Barry M.I. Standig/bm/s

Barry M.L. Standig/bmls October 04, 1999

Steven L. Stephan Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800